

## DuckCorp Infrastructure - Bug #513

### Mailman: DMARC checks are enabled and could fail

2017-02-28 14:08 - Pierre-Louis Bonicoli

<b>Status:</b>	Resolved	<b>Start date:</b>	2017-02-28
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>		<b>% Done:</b>	100%
<b>Category:</b>	Service :: Mail	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0:00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>		<b>Entity:</b>	DuckCorp
<b>Patch Available:</b>		<b>Security:</b>	No
<b>Confirmed:</b>	Yes	<b>Help Needed:</b>	Yes
<b>Branch:</b>			

#### Description

DMARC checks are enabled by Mailman.

When a DMARC policy is defined in the sender domain, mails can be rejected:

```
/var/log/mailman/vette
```

```
Feb 28 10:36:38 2017 (7194) DMARC lookup for no-reply@microsoft.com (_dmarc.microsoft.com) found p
=reject in _dmarc.microsoft.com. = v=DMARC1; p=reject; pct=100; rua=mailto:d@rua.agari.com; ruf=ma
ilto:d@ruf.agari.com; fo=1
Feb 28 10:36:38 2017 (7194) Message discarded, msgid: <40d1e0c8-6fe4-4fd6-acfc-5e359d1960b2@BN1AFF
0110LC003.protection.gbl>
```

```
Received-SPF: None (protection.outlook.com: microsoft.com does not designate
permitted sender hosts)
```

```
Authentication-Results: spf=none (sender IP is )
smtp.mailfrom=no-reply@microsoft.com;
```

**What puzzles me is what/who added the Received-SPF header in the rejected mail ?**

References:

- <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7208#section-9>

```
The Received-SPF header field is a trace field (see [RFC5322],
Section 3.6.7) and SHOULD be prepended to the existing header, above
the Received: field that is generated by the SMTP receiver.
```

#### History

**#1 - 2017-02-28 14:36 - Pierre-Louis Bonicoli**

- Status changed from Rejected to In Progress

Because Authentication-Results header if above the Received header of BN1AFFO11HUB037.protection.gbl, the Authentication-Results header must have been added by BN1AFFO11HUB037.protection.gbl:

```
Received: from NAM01-BY2-obe.outbound.protection.outlook.com (mail-by2nam01on0065.outbound.protection.outlook.com [104.47.34.65])
  (using TLSv1.2 with cipher ECDHE-RSA-AES256-SHA384 (256/256 bits))
  (No client certificate requested)
  by mx1.duckcorp.org (Postfix) with ESMTPS id 3vXZnh6mw4z2J7j
  for <duck@duckcorp.org>; Tue, 28 Feb 2017 11:39:19 +0100 (CET)
Authentication-Results: spf=none (sender IP is )
  smtp.mailfrom=no-reply@microsoft.com;
Received: from BN1AFFO11FD005.protection.gbl (10.58.52.55) by
  BN1AFFO11HUB037.protection.gbl (10.58.52.148) with Microsoft SMTP Server
  (version=TLS1_2, cipher=TLS_ECDHE_RSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA384_P384) id
  15.1.933.11; Tue, 28 Feb 2017 10:39:17 +0000
Received: from BL2FFO11WSS007 (207.46.163.209) by
  BN1AFFO11FD005.mail.protection.outlook.com (10.58.52.65) with Microsoft SMTP
  Server (version=TLS1_0, cipher=TLS_ECDHE_RSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA_P384) id
  15.1.933.11 via Frontend Transport; Tue, 28 Feb 2017 10:39:17 +0000
```

Because we don't do any SPF checks (I guess we should not trust SPF results of others), we should:

- either disable DMARC checks on Mailman
- or add SPF checks

I propose the former.

## #2 - 2017-02-28 15:31 - Pierre-Louis Bonicoli

- Status changed from *In Progress* to *Resolved*

- % Done changed from 0 to 100

The configuration below had been added to `/etc/mailman/mm_cfg.py`:

```
# Remove 'domainkey-signature', 'dkim-signature', 'authentication-results'
# headers
REMOVE_DKIM_HEADERS = 2
# With newer version of Mailman, 3 will allow to rename headers.
# Rename 'domainkey-signature', 'dkim-signature', 'authentication-results'
# headers, using 'X-Mailman-Original-' prefix.
```

and applied using: `systemctl restart mailman.service`.